	Case 2:06-cv-00984-JLR Document 23 Filed 02/02/07 Page 1 of 3
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE
10	
11	MATTHEW G. SILVA,
12	Plaintiff,) Case No. C06-984-JLR-JPD
13	V.)
14	GEORGE W. BUSH, et al.,) REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION)
15	Defendants.))
16	Plaintiff Matthew Silva is a state prisoner who is in the custody of the Washington
17	Department of Corrections. On October 24, 2005, plaintiff presented a civil rights complaint under
18	42 U.S.C. § 1983 to the United States District Court for the District of Columbia for filing. (See
19	Dkt. No. 8, Attachment 3.) In his complaint, plaintiff alleged that the named defendants had
20	conspired to violate his constitutional rights during the course of his incarceration at two King
21	County corrections facilities in 2004 and 2005. (See id.) More specifically, plaintiff alleged that
22	defendants had conspired together to violate plaintiff's right to confidential telephone
23	conversations, to obstruct and delay plaintiff's legal mail, and to retaliate against plaintiff for
24	exposing systemic violations of prisoners rights in King County corrections facilities. (Id.)
25	Plaintiff identified the following individuals as defendants in his complaint: George W.
26	Bush, President of the United States; Alberto Gonzales, United States Attorney General; John
	REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION PAGE - 1

1	Potter, Postmaster General; Christine Gregoire, Governor of the State of Washington; Norm
2	Maleng, King County Prosecutor; and, Reed Holtgeerts, King County Jail Director. (See Dkt. No.
3	8, Attachment 3.) Plaintiff sought injunctive and declaratory relief and damages for the alleged
4	violations of his civil rights. (Id.)
5	Plaintiff, in conjunction with his complaint, submitted to the District of Columbia court an
6	application to proceed with the action in forma pauperis and a motion for temporary restraining
7	order. (See Dkt. No. 8, Attachments 4 and 5.) On November 9, 2005, the District of Columbia
8	court issued an order transferring plaintiff's action to this District. (Id., Attachment 1.) In that
9	order, the court also denied plaintiff's motion for temporary restraining order. (Id.) Plaintiff's file
10	was received in this District on July 12, 2006. (See Dkt. No. 8.)
11	On October 30, 2006, plaintiff's application for leave to proceed in forma pauperis was
12	granted and his complaint was filed in this Court. (See Dkt. Nos. 18 and 19.) On the same date,
13	this Court issued an Order declining to serve plaintiff's complaint and granting him leave to amend.
14	(Dkt. No. 21.) Plaintiff was advised therein that in order to adequately allege a cause of action
15	under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 he must allege facts showing how individually named defendants caused or
16	personally participated in causing the harm alleged in the complaint. (Id., citing Arnold v.
17	International Business Machines Corp., 637 F.2d 1350, 1355 (9th Cir. 1981)). Plaintiff was further
18	advised that his complaint was defective because he had not alleged any facts demonstrating that any
19	of the named defendants had personally participated in causing the harm alleged in the complaint.
20	(Id.)
21	Plaintiff was granted thirty days within which to submit an amended complaint curing the
22	specified deficiencies, and was advised that his failure to timely submit an amended complaint
23	would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed for failure to state a claim upon
24	which relief may be granted. (Id.) To date, plaintiff has filed no amended complaint.
25	When a complaint is frivolous, malicious, fails to state a claim on which relief may be
26	granted, or seeks monetary relief against a defendant who is immune from such relief, the Court

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION PAGE - 2

1	may dismiss an in forma pauperis complaint before service of process under 28 U.S.C.
2	§ 1915(e)(2)(B). Because plaintiff failed to amend his complaint to adequately allege a cause of
3	action under § 1983, this Court recommends that this action be dismissed, without prejudice,
4	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B). A proposed order accompanies this Report and
5	Recommendation.
6	DATED this 2nd day of February, 2007.
7	James P. Donolice
8	YAMES P. DONOHUE United States Magistrate Judge
9	
10	
1	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION PAGE - 3